

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,945	05/22/2006	Ralf Mayer	032301.458	9528
25461 SMITH, GAM	7590 11/12/2008 BRELL & RUSSELL	EXAMINER		
SUITE 3100, I	PROMENADE II		STALDER, MELISSA A	
	FREE STREET, N.E. A 30309-3592		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/505 0.45			
10/595,945	MAYER, RALF		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MELISSA STALDER	1793		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	
--------	--

1)🖂	Responsive	to communication(s) filed on	05-22-06
-----	------------	--------------------	------------	----------

- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.
 - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 - 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
 - 3.X Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
 - application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03-22-07 and 05-22-06.

- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention,

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 states that the process steps are to be carried out in parallel, however, claim 1 recites a process that consists of consecutives steps in a vessel. Applicant should amend claim 2 so that this process can be carried out and the claim can be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chopin (US 6,090,743). Chopin teaches preparing a solution of a rare earth acetate or chloride (which can be a salt) and adding at least one salt or colloidal solution (col. 2, lines 4-14). Then a basic medium is added so that the reactor forms a precipitate or suspension that can be separated from the reaction mixture (substance still in solution) (col. 3, lines 60-64). The suspension is then freeze-dried (cooling, subliming, and drying) (col. 3, lines

Application/Control Number: 10/595,945

Art Unit: 1793

65-67). The solution can also be sprayed into a heated atmosphere. Chopin does not mention any sedimentation; therefore sedimentation is prevented in this reaction.

Examples 1-9 teach analyzing the product through MET-EDS analysis and indicate the

nitrate content of the product. Example 9 teaches X-ray analysis of the crystal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chopin (US 6,090,743) in view of Allison (US 6,723,886). Chopin teaches a process for preparation of inorganic materials but does not teach two vessels in parallel. Allison teaches reaction vessels running in parallel surrounded by a cooling medium (figures 7 and 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the process of Chopin with the vessels of Allison because the parallel reactors are able to produce a greater amount of end product yet still be operated efficiently as they can all be cooled together.

Claims 2, 3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chopin (US 6.090.743) in view of Allison (US 6.723.886) in view of Hoke

Art Unit: 1793

(2003/0166466). Chopin teaches a process for preparation of inorganic materials but does not teach testing for catalytic activity. Allison teaches reaction vessels running in parallel surrounded by a cooling medium (figures 7 and 8). Hoke teaches the production of a catalyst and catalytic activity testing (0249). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the process of Chopin with the testing of Hoke because Chopin teaches the production of washcoats for catalysts and catalysts themselves and catalytic activity testing would provide useful information about these products.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA STALDER whose telephone number is (571)270-5832. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Melvin Curtis Mayes can be reached on 571-272-1234. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,945 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MS November 4, 2008

/Melvin Curtis Mayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793